IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2869 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak
Aghadi ... Petitioner

Versus

The Security Guards
Board for Gr. Bombay and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Susheel Mahadeshwar i/by Ranjana Todankar for Petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Topkar for R. No. 1.

Mr. R.S. Mishra for R. No. 3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: NOVEMBER 21, 2006

P.C.:

. The case of hte Petitioner is that the Respondnet No. 2 is not registered and has employed security guards though Respondnet No. 3. The guards are also not exempted. The respondent No. 3 points out that as per instructions, the guards whose names are listed at Exh. A have not been posted with Respondent No.2 . Whatever may the position. The present petition is disposed of by direction to Respondent No. 1 to consider the application dated 25.9.2006 made by the guards listed in Exh. A and if found eligible to register them according to law within eight weeks from today. In so far as Respondent No. 2 is concerned, it will be open to Respondent No. 1 to conduct enquiry and if they are

engaging the guards who are not exempted, then to take appropriate steps in law. With the above observations, Petition disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**